



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/535,206	03/27/2000	Muralidharan S Kodialam	6-12	3864

7590 07/25/2003

HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.
P.O. Box 8910
Reston, VA 20195

[REDACTED] EXAMINER

BOUTAH, ALINA A

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2143	/ 0

DATE MAILED: 07/25/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/535,206	KODIALAM ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Alina N Boutah	2143

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 May 2003.
- 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|--|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____ . |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____ . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ . |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

This action is in response to Applicant's amendment received May 15, 2003. Claims 1-10 are pending in the present application.

Specification

The specification is objected to because it fails to show detail description of figure 6. A proposed correction is required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the specification will not be held in abeyance

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Applicant's argument in regards to the rejection of claims 1 and 5 has been considered and is persuasive. The rejection is now withdrawn.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed May 15, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the Hou reference discloses the pre-assignment of backup paths. In contrast, backup paths are not pre-assigned by the claimed inventions. Applicant has amended claims 1 and 5 to distinctly claim dynamically identifying and selecting backup paths after a given traffic request has arrived at a node in a network. The Patent Office disagrees. While the

cited area of the Hou reference in the previous Office Action does not expressly teach the amended claim, page 364, specifically column 1, beginning at line 31, discloses not dedicating a backup path at the time of its establishment, and column 2, lines 9-12 discloses dynamically selecting backup path after some paths have been terminated. This suggests that the backup path is inherently identified after a request has arrived. Therefore, the Patent Office maintains the rejections of claims 1-10 as being anticipated by Hou.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by *Design of a Fast Restoration Mechanism for Virtual Path-Based ATM Networks*, a paper written by Chao-Ju Hou.

(Amended) Regarding claim 1, Hou teaches a method of dynamically establishing restorable paths in an information network in response to arriving traffic requests, the networking having a number of nodes and links between corresponding pairs of nodes, comprising:

receiving requests at a first node of the network for transmission of traffic to a second node of the network, wherein a given request specifies a desired transmission bandwidth for an active path and a backup path to be established between the first and the second nodes (Abstract; Introduction, 2nd – 3rd paragraph; Preliminaries, 1st – 2nd paragraph);

distributing information to nodes in the network concerning (a) total bandwidth reserved by each link in the network for all active paths currently defined in the network, and (b) total bandwidth reserved by each link in the network for all backup paths currently defined in the network (Preliminaries, 1st – 4th paragraph);

identifying potential active links in the network an active path in response to a given request, wherein the potential active links each have an available bandwidth at least equal to the bandwidth specified by the given request (Overview of Proposed Fast Restoration Mechanism, Establishment of Backup VPs);

identifying potential backup links in the network for a backup path for restoring the active path after the given request has arrived, wherein the potential back links each have an available bandwidth at least equal to the desired transmission bandwidth specified by the given request (Overview of Proposed Fast Restoration Mechanism, Establishment of Backup VPs; page 364, col. 1, line 31 to col. 2, lines 12); and

formulating an active and a backup path for each given request from among the potential active links and the potential backup links identified in response to the given request (Overview of Proposed Fast Restoration Mechanism, Establishment of Backup VPs, and Restoration of Failed Primary VPs).

Regarding claim 2, Hou teaches the method of claim 1, including determining the available bandwidth of a potential backup link having a certain total bandwidth capacity, by subtracting from the total bandwidth capacity (a) the total bandwidth reserved by the link for all current active paths through the link, and (b) the total bandwidth reserved by the link for all

currently backup paths through the link (Overview of Proposed Fast Restoration Mechanism, Establishment of Backup VPs, Problem 1).

Regarding claim 3, Hou teaches the method of claim 1, including defining each backup path in the network to be link disjoint from its corresponding active path (Overview of Proposed Fast Restoration Mechanism, Problem 1).

Regarding claim 4, Hou teaches the method of claim 1, including defining each backup path in the network to be node disjoint from its corresponding active path (Overview of Proposed Fast Restoration Mechanism, Problem 1).

(Amended) Regarding claim 5, Hou teaches a method of dynamically establishing restorable paths in an information network in response to arriving traffic requests, the network having a number of nodes and links between corresponding pairs of nodes, comprising:
receiving requests at a first node of the network for transmission of traffic to a second node of the network, wherein a given request specifies a desired transmission bandwidth for an active path a backup path to be established between the first and the second nodes (Abstract; Introduction, 2nd – 3rd paragraph; Preliminaries, 1st – 2nd paragraph);
selecting active links in the network to form the active path in response to a given request, wherein the active links each have an available bandwidth corresponding to the bandwidth specified by the given request (Preliminaries, 1st – 4th paragraph); and

selecting backup links in the network to form the backup path for restoring the formed active path after the given request has arrived, by using a maximum total bandwidth reservation among the active links selected to form the active path to determine a required bandwidth reservation for each backup link selected to form the backup path (Overview of Proposed Fast Restoration Mechanism, Establishment of Backup VPs; page 364, col. 1, line 31 to col. 2, lines 12).

Regarding claim 6, Hou teaches the method of claim 5, including distributing information to nodes in the network concerning (a) total bandwidth reserved by each link in the network for all active paths currently formed in the network, and (b) total bandwidth reserved by each link in the network for all backup paths currently formed in the network.

Regarding claim 7, Hou teaches the method of claim 5, including determining if each potential backup link for the backup path to be formed is capable of accommodating the required bandwidth reservation for the active path to selecting the potential backup link (Overview of Proposed Fast Restoration Mechanism, Establishment of Backup VPs, Problem 1).

Regarding claim 8, Hou teaches the method of claim 7, wherein said determining step includes comparing the total bandwidth reserved by each potential backup link for all currently backup paths in the network, with the required bandwidth reservation for the backup path to be formed (Overview of Proposed Fast Restoration Mechanism, Establishment of Backup VPs, Problem 1).

Regarding claim 9, Hou teaches the method of claim 5, including defining each backup path in the network to be link disjoint from its corresponding active path (Overview of Proposed Fast Restoration Mechanism, Problem 1).

Regarding claim 10, Hou teaches the method of claim 5, including defining each backup path in the network to be node disjoint from its corresponding active path (Overview of Proposed Fast Restoration Mechanism, Problem 1).

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alina N Boutah whose telephone number is (703) 305-5104. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:30 am-5:30 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David A Wiley can be reached on (703) 308-5221. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 746-9112 for regular communications and (703) 305-3718 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

ANB

ANB
July 17, 2003



DAVID WILEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100